

DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
[dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463  
[jfowler@be-law.com](mailto:jfowler@be-law.com)  
MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
[mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
BERGESON, LLP  
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VERIGY US, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
California Limited Liability Corporation,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
RE: CONTEMPT AGAINST DEFENDANTS  
ROMI MAYDER AND SILICON TEST  
SYSTEMS, INC.**

Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
Ctrm: 6

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007  
Trial Date: None Set

1 TO DEFENDANTS ROMI MAYDER and SILICON TEST SYSTEMS, INC.:

2 Plaintiff Verigy US, Inc.'s ("Verigy") Application for an Order to Show Cause Re  
3 Contempt came on for hearing before the Court on December \_\_, 2007. Based on the memorandum  
4 of points and authorities, the Declaration of Melinda Morton filed herewith; the evidence and  
5 argument presented in connection with this Application; and good cause appearing therefor,

6 **DEFENDANTS ARE FURTHER HEREBY ORDERED TO APPEAR AND SHOW**  
7 **CAUSE** in this Court on December \_\_, 2007, at \_\_ a.m., unless otherwise ordered by this  
8 Court, why you:

- 9 (a) should not be adjudged to be in contempt of this Court's August 24, 2007 Order;
- 10 (b) you should not be ordered to pay Verigy's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in  
11 connection with the contempt proceedings;
- 12 (c) you should not be ordered to cease using, disclosing, disseminating, marketing, or  
13 attempting to use or disclose the STS product containing Verigy's Trade Secret  
14 Property and/or developed with the use of, derived from, or incorporating all or any  
15 part of Verigy's Trade Secret Property, as enjoined by this Court's August 24, 2007  
16 Order;
- 17 (d) why you should not be ordered to pay sanctions to Verigy, the amount of which will be  
18 set in the Court's discretion.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated: December \_\_, 2007

21 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Hon. Ronald M. Whyte  
23 United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28